Spectroscopy of ¹²Be using TexAT

M. Roosa, G. Christian, G. Rogachev, M. Barbui, J. Bishop, E. Harris, E. Koshchiy, Z. Luo, S. Ota, C.E. Parker, and D.P. Scriven

This project aims to develop the capacity to use the TEXas Active Target (TexAT) [KOS20] for transfer reaction studies in inverse kinematics. We plan to demonstrate this capacity by extracting spectroscopic factors to low-lying states in ¹²Be with the ¹³B(d,³He)¹²Be reaction. The structure of these states, in particular the p-wave versus intruder (sd)² contributions to their wavefunctions, remains an open question [ALB78, FOR94, KAN10, JOH13].

Specifically, this experiment will also help to establish the validity of using an Active Target Time Projection Chamber (AT-TPC) for $(d,^{3}He)$ transfer reaction studies – with the eventual goal of coupling TexAT to the forthcoming TexNEUT p-Terphenyl neutron detector to study neutron-unbound states.

We took data in September of 2022 at the TAMU Cyclotron Institute using the K500 cyclotron and MARS line. A primary beam of ¹⁵N at 30 MeV/u was impinged on a 1 mm ⁹Be target to produce 10⁴ pps of ¹³B at the TexAT window. The total purity was approximately 80% with near equal (10%) contamination from ¹⁰Be and ⁸Li. TexAT's active volume was filled with 100 Torr of Deuterated Methane (CD₄).

As shown in Fig. 1, the active region is surrounded by an array of silicon-cesium iodide



FIG. 1. Cartoon of the TexAT set-up from a top-down perspective showing the Si-CsI telescopes arranged around the micromegas.

telescopes (Si-CsI). These are used to identify the heavy recoil and elastically scattered deuterons with the differential-energy loss (dE-E) method. dE-E plots for heavy- and light-recoil identification are shown in Fig. 2. The isotopic selectivity of the light-recoil identification is not found to be present in the events of interest due to a signal quality problem emerging from the large capacitance of the pads in TexAT's multiplexed side regions. The next iteration of TexAT, the TExas Birmingham Active-Target (TeBAT)

will not feature multiplexing so we are confident this problem will not reemerge in future studies performed by our collaboration.



FIG. 2. dE-E plots for heavy-recoil (Left) and light-recoil (Right) identification.

Track reconstruction and missing mass analysis have given the excitation spectrum shown in Fig. 3. The signal quality issues mentioned earlier made upwards of 90% of the data unusable leaving only 9 counts in the region of interest ($E_x(^{12}Be) = 0.5 \text{ MeV}$). Data taken with CH₄ was passed through an identical analysis process and produced no counts in the region of interest. Though promising, there were insufficient counts in the CD₄ data to register signal-over-background in the region of interest above a 68% confidence interval.



FIG. 3. Excitation spectrum of ¹²Be with states of interest indicated with arrows.

Analysis of this data has been concluded. Although it is not possible to claim observation $(d, {}^{3}He)$ from the data taken, we are confident that the insights provided by this study have paved the way for future efforts to make transfer reaction measurements using TexAT-like systems. Specifically, the changed read-out topology TeBAT guarantees that the signal distortion problems seen in this experiment

will not reemerge. When coupled with the isotopic selectivity shown in Fig 2. (Right), $(d,^{3}He)$ spectroscopy in active targets should be well within technical possibility.

- [1] E. Koshchiy, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A957, 163398 (2020).
- [2] D.E. Alburger et al., Phys. Rev. C 17, 1525 (1978).
- [3] H.T. Fortune *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **50**, 1355 (1994).
- [4] R. Kanungo et al., Phys. Lett. B 682, 391 (2010).
- [5] J.G. Johansen et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 044619 (2013).